### Instructions to Voter

**Use a Pen (or a black ink Cover)**

To ensure your vote counts, completely fill in the oval to the left of the response you choose. To write in a name, write the name on the solid line and fill in the oval. To make a mistake or damage your ballot, call your County Elections Office to ask for a replacement ballot.

**Attention!**

Remember to inspect your ballot for mistakes! If you make a mistake or damage your ballot, call your County Elections Office to ask for a replacement ballot.

### Federal Offices

- **US Senator**
  - Vote for One
  - Steven C Reynolds
  - Ron Wyden
  - Mark Caflahan
  - Eric Navickas
  - Jason K Gasper
  - Gary Johnson / Bill Weld

- **US Representative, 5th District**
  - Vote for One
  - Colin Willis
  - Kurt Schrader
  - Marvin Sandnes
  - Pacific Green

### State Offices

- **Secretary of State**
  - Vote for One
  - Sharon L Durbin
  - Dennis Richardson
  - Paul DeBenedetti
  - Brad Avakian
  - Alan Zundel
  - Michael Marsh

- **State Treasurer**
  - Vote for One
  - Chris Henry
  - Tobias Read
  - Jeff Gudman
  - Chris Telfer

- **State Auditor**
  - Vote for One
  - Gary L Cammisa

### Legislative Offices

- **State Senator, 5th District**
  - Vote for One
  - Arnie Roblan
  - Dick Anderson
  - Dan Souza

- **State Representative, 9th District**
  - Vote for One
  - Guy Rosinbaum
  - Teri Grier
  - Caddy McCaw

- **State Representative, 10th District**
  - Vote for One
  - Thomas M Donohue

### Nonpartisan State Judiciary

- **Judge of the Supreme Court, Position 6**
  - Vote for One
  - Lynn R Nakamoto

- **Judge of the Court of Appeals, Position 7**
  - Vote for One
  - Scott Sherr

### City of Depoe Bay

- **Mayor**
  - Vote for One
  - Jerome Grant
  - Robert Gambino
  - Barbara Leef
  - A J Matilla

- **Council Member, Position 1**
  - Vote for One
  - Loren J Goddard

### City of Newport

- **Mayor**
  - Vote for One
  - Jan Rushenhouen
  - Debbie Callender

### City of Lincoln City

- **Mayor**
  - Vote for One
  - Joe Davidson

- **Council Member, Ward I**
  - Vote for One
  - Jim Davis

### City of Siletz

- **Mayor**
  - Vote for One
  - John S Robinson

### City of Toledo

- **Mayor**
  - Vote for One
  - Billie Jo Smith

### State Judges (2 Year Term)

- **Superior Court**
  - Vote for One
  - Linwood Crouse
  - Laura L Swanson

- **District Court**
  - Vote for One
  - Jason Franklin
  - Dean Sawyer

### City of Newport

- **Mayor**
  - Vote for One
  - Sandra N Roumagoux

### Additional Measures

- **Economic Development Fund and administered by the Governor**
  - Vote for One
  - Yes
  - No

- **City imposing up to a three percent tax or fee**
  - Vote for One
  - Yes
  - No

- **Public universities from investing in equities.**
  - Vote for One
  - Yes
  - No

- **Wildlife species; exceptions for specified activities, projects, and programs.**
  - Vote for One
  - Yes
  - No

- **Nonpartisan State Judiciary**
  - Vote for One
  - Yes
  - No

### Legislative Measures

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

### Constitutional Amendments

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

### Oregon Initiative

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

### Oregon Constitutional Amendment

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

### Election Officials

- **City of Newport**
  - Vote for One
  - Yes
  - No

- **City of Lincoln City**
  - Vote for One
  - Yes
  - No

- **City of Siletz**
  - Vote for One
  - Yes
  - No

- **City of Toledo**
  - Vote for One
  - Yes
  - No

### Other Measures

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

- **Yes No**
  - Yes
  - No

### Ballot Information

- **Secretary of State**
  - Vote for One
  - Lynn R Nakamoto

- **Judge of the Court of Appeals, Position 5**
  - Vote for One
  - Scott Scherr

- **Judge of the Supreme Court, Position 5**
  - Vote for One
  - Michael Marsh
## City of Waldport

- **Mayor**
  - Sue Woodruff
  - Vote for One

- **Council Members**
  - Greg Dunn
  - Vote for Two
  - Pat Warwick
  - Vote for Three
  - Bob O'Brien
  - Vote for Four

- **City of Yachats**
  - Mayor
    - W. E. Moore
    - Vote for One
  - Council Members
    - Max E. Glenn
    - Vote for Two
    - Jim Tookes
    - Vote for Three
    - John Moore
    - Vote for Four

### Soil & Water Conservation District

- **Directors**
  - Wayne Hoffman
  - Vote for One
  - Curt Abbott
  - Vote for Two
  - Brad Davison
  - Vote for Three
  - John Krockow
  - Vote for Four

### State MEASURES

#### 96 Amendments: Constitutional

- **94 Amendments**
  - Eliminates mandatory retirement age for state judges
  - Vote for One
  - Result of “Yes” Vote: Yes vote amends constitutional prohibition on ownership by the State of stock of any company, association, or corporation.
  - Summary: There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues required by the measure. The revenue and expenditure impact on public universities is dependent upon decisions by each university on the type and amount of private equity in which they choose to invest or reject.

- **95 Amendments**
  - Access to state and federal benefits
  - Vote for Two
  - Result of “Yes” Vote: Yes vote amends constitutional prohibition on ownership by the State of stock of any company, association, or corporation.
  - Summary: There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues required by the measure. The revenue and expenditure impact on public universities is dependent upon decisions by each university on the type and amount of private equity in which they choose to invest or reject.

### Proposed by Initiative Petition

- **97 Increases corporate minimum tax when sales exceed $25 million; funds education, healthcare, senior services**
  - Result of “Yes” Vote: Yes vote amends constitutional prohibition on ownership by the State of stock of any company, association, or corporation.
  - Summary: Current law requires each corporation or affiliated group of corporations filing a federal tax return to pay annual minimum tax; amount of tax is determined by tax bracket corresponding to amount of corporation’s Oregon sales; corporations with sales of $100 million or more pay $100,000. Measure increases minimum tax on corporations with Oregon sales of more than $25 million; imposes minimum tax of $300,001 plus 2.5% of amount above $25 million; eliminates tax cap; benefit companies (business entities that create public benefit) taxed under current law. Applies to tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2017. Revenue from tax increase funds programs to reduce childhood through grade 12; healthcare; services for senior citizens.
  - Estimate of Financial Impact: The measure is anticipated to increase state revenues by $254 million from January 1st to June 30th of 2018, and approximately $3 billion for every year beginning July 1st after that.

- **98 Requires state funding for educational stability, career and college readiness, health care, senior services, including assistance with employment, education, housing, physical, mental health care, addiction treatment, reintegretion, access to government benefits, and other services for veterans, spouses and dependents. Other provisions**
  - Estimate of Financial Impact: The measure is anticipated to increase state revenues by $548 million from January 1st to June 30th of 2017, and approximately $3 billion for every year beginning July 1st after that.
  - Summary: Current law requires each corporation or affiliated group of corporations filing a federal tax return to pay annual minimum tax; amount of tax is determined by tax bracket corresponding to amount of corporation’s Oregon sales; corporations with sales of $100 million or more pay $100,000. Measure increases minimum tax on corporations with Oregon sales of more than $25 million; imposes minimum tax of $300,001 plus 2.5% of amount above $25 million; eliminates tax cap; benefit companies (business entities that create public benefit) taxed under current law. Applies to tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2017. Revenue from tax increase funds programs to reduce childhood through grade 12; healthcare; services for senior citizens.
  - Estimate of Financial Impact: The measure is anticipated to increase state revenues by $254 million from January 1st to June 30th of 2018, and approximately $3 billion for every year beginning July 1st after that.
98 Requires state funding for dropout-prevention, career and college readiness programs in Oregon high schools.

Result of “Yes” Vote: “Yes” vote requires state legislature to fund dropout-prevention, career and college readiness programs through grants to Oregon high schools.

Result of “No” Vote: “No” vote requires state legislature to fund dropout-prevention, career and college readiness programs through grants to Oregon high schools.

Summary: Currently, the Oregon legislature provides General Fund revenues to the State School Fund based on constitutionally required funding goals; those funds are distributed directly to school districts under a specified formula. Measure requires legislature to separately provide at least $600 per high school student—adjusted upward annually for inflation/population—to a Department of Education (ODE) administered account. ODE distributes those funds to school districts to establish and expand high school programs providing career/technical education, college-level courses, and dropout-prevention strategies. School districts must identify students, meet specified requirements. Districts may use limited portion of fund for administration costs but not unrelated activities. ODE monitors school district performance, ensures compliance, facilitates programs; Secretary of State audits stewardship of other provisions.

Estimate of Financial Impact: The measure does not affect the aggregate amount of funds collected or expended by state or local government.

The measure does, however, continue the current state contribution (expected $147 million annually) to expenditures on career and technical education, accelerated learning and high school graduation improvement programs. This number could be lower if state funding grows by $1.5 billion in the 2017-2019 biennium.

Because the measure does not raise additional revenue, the measure specifically provides that the Legislature determine how these program expansions will be funded.

99 Creates “Outdoor School Education Fund,” continuously funded through Lottery proceeds to provide outdoor school programs statewide.

Result of “Yes” Vote: “Yes” vote creates separate fund, financed through Oregon Lottery Economic Development Fund and administered by Oregon State University (OSU), to provide outdoor school programs statewide.

Result of “No” Vote: “No” vote requires legislature to provide outdoor school programs statewide; retains current law which administers outdoor school grants if funding available.

Summary: Presently, Oregon does not fund outdoor school programs statewide, may under current law, OSU assists school districts by awarding grants according to specified criteria and providing program maintenance, conditioned on funding. Measure creates separate “Outdoor School Education Fund” (Fund) that is financed by Oregon State Lottery money distributed for economic development. Caps amount of grants given to school districts. Requires lottery revenues to Fund. Specifies Fund’s purpose to provide every Oregon fifth- or sixth-grade student-week-long outdoor school program or equivalent. Continuously appropriates Fund to OSU to administer and fund outdoor school programs statewide in total as consistent with current law’s grant program criteria; may require Fund dispersal outside of Oregon. All additions to Fund shall not reduce lottery proceeds dedicated under Oregon Constitution to education, parks, beaches, watersheds, fish, wildlife.

Estimate of Financial Impact: This measure amends Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 659.045 to dedicate a portion of lottery proceeds for a statewide outdoor school program. In 2015, the Oregon State Legislature established an Outdoor Education Account for the purpose of funding a six-day, residential, in-school outdoor school program or equivalent for fifth- and sixth-grade students across the state. The Legislature did not provide funding at that time. This measure would affect distributions from the Department of Administrative Services Economic Development Fund. It dedicates the lesser of the following two amounts to the Outdoor Education account: either four percent of the quarterly transfers to this fund or $5.5 million quarterly, with a maximum of $22 million each year.

The measure would result in an expenditure shift of $22 million annually to the Outdoor Education Account from the Department of Administrative Services Economic Development Fund. The measure does not affect the overall amount of funds collected or expended by state government. This measure would not have an impact on the orbiting羽, wildlife, and the amount of the Educational Stability Fund or the Parks and Natural Resources Fund.

100 Prohibits purchase or sale of parts or products from certain wildlife species; exceptions; civil penalties

Result of “Yes” Vote: “Yes” vote prohibits purchase/sale of parts/products from certain wildlife species; exceptions for specified activities, gift/inheritance, and certain antique/musical instruments; civil penalties

Result of “No” Vote: “No” vote maintains current Oregon law which does not prohibit purchase or sale of parts or products from species not native to Oregon, except for shark fins.

Summary: Existing Oregon law does not prohibit purchase/sale of parts/products from certain wildlife species; exceptions for specified activities, gift/inheritance, and certain antique/musical instruments; civil penalties.

If “No” Vote: Maintains current Oregon law which does not prohibit purchase/sale of parts/products from certain wildlife species; exceptions for specified activities, gift/inheritance, and certain antique/musical instruments; civil penalties.

11-217 Imposes county tax on marijuana retailer’s sale of marijuana items

QUESTION: Shall Lincoln County impose three percent tax on the sale of retail marijuana items in unincorporated areas of the county?

SUMMARY: Under state law, a county governing body may adopt an ordinance to be referred to the voters of the county imposing up to a three percent tax or fee on the sale of retail marijuana items by a marijuana retailer. This measure creates separate fund, to be collected on the sale of marijuana items by a county by a licensed marijuana retailer. The tax would be collected at the point of sale and remitted by the marijuana retailer.

CITY MEASURES

CITY OF DEPOE BAY

21-173 Imposes county tax on retail marijuana items

QUESTION: Shall Depoe Bay impose a three percent tax on the sale of recreational marijuana items by a marijuana retailer?

SUMMARY: Under state law, a City Council may adopt an ordinance to be referred to the voters of the city imposing up to a three percent tax or fee on the sale of recreational marijuana items by a marijuana retailer. This measure creates separate fund, to be collected on the sale of recreational marijuana items by a marijuana retailer. The Depoe Bay City Council may adopt Ordinance No. 2097, on May 16, 2016, which imposes a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer.

CITY OF LINCOLN CITY

21-174 Imposes 3% tax on marijuana retailer’s sale of marijuana items

QUESTION: Shall Lincoln City impose a 3% tax on the sale of recreational marijuana items by a marijuana retailer?

SUMMARY: Under state law, a county governing body may adopt an ordinance to be referred to the voters of the county imposing up to a three percent tax or fee on the sale of marijuana items in unincorporated areas of the county by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. The tax would be collected at the point of sale and remitted by the marijuana retailer.

CITY OF NEWPORT

21-169 Imposition of a tax on retail marijuana items

QUESTION: Shall the City of Newport impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items by a marijuana retailer?

SUMMARY: Under state law, a council may adopt an ordinance to be referred to the voters of the city imposing up to a three percent tax or fee on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer.

CULTURAL TRUST MEASURES

1-196 Imposition of a tax on marijuana items

QUESTION: Shall the Migrant Laborers and Agricultural Workers Cultural Trust impose a tax on marijuana items?

SUMMARY: Under state law, a public benefit corporation may adopt an ordinance to be referred to the voters of the corporation imposing up to a three percent tax or fee on the sale of marijuana items by a marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer.
CITY OF WALDPORT
21-170 Imposition of a tax on retail marijuana items

QUESTION: Shall the City of Waldport impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items by a marijuana retailer?

SUMMARY: Under state law, a city council may adopt an ordinance to be referred to the voters of the city imposing up to a three percent tax or fee on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. The Waldport City Council adopted Ordinance No. 760, on July 14, 2016, which imposes a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items by a marijuana retailer and referring the ordinance to the voters at the General Election to be held on November 8, 2016.

Approval of this measure would impose a three percent tax on the sale of marijuana items in the city by a licensed marijuana retailer. The tax would be collected at the point of sale and remitted by the marijuana retailer.

CITY OF YACHATS
21-171 City tax on recreational marijuana retailers’ sale of marijuana items

QUESTION: Shall Yachats impose a tax on sales of marijuana items by recreational marijuana retailers in the city?

SUMMARY: If adopted by the voters, this measure would impose a city tax on sales of marijuana items (including marijuana, marijuana products and marijuana extracts) by recreational marijuana retailers licensed by the Oregon Liquor Control Commission and located within the City of Yachats. The City Council would have the authority to set the amount of the tax, but under no circumstances would the tax exceed three percent of the retail sales price of a marijuana item. The tax would be collected from consumers by recreational marijuana retailers at the point of sale. Recreational marijuana retailers would remit the tax to the City. The city tax would be imposed in addition to any state taxes on the sale of marijuana items. The city tax would not be imposed on medical marijuana sales.